

Resolution Consultants A Joint Venture of AECOM & EnSafe 1500 Wells Fargo Building 440 Monticello Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23510

March 3, 2016

Rhode Island, Department of Environmental Management

Office of Waste Management Attn: Mr. Richard Gottlieb 235 Promenade Street Providence, RI 02908-5767

RE: Response to Comment on the Draft Remedial Investigation Report, QDC

Outfall 001 Remedial Investigation, Former NCBC Davisville, North

Kingstown, Rhode Island

Dear Mr. Gottlieb:

On behalf of the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), Mid-Atlantic (MIDLANT), Resolution Consultants is submitting a Response to Comment for the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (RIDEM) comment on the Draft Remedial Investigation Report for QDC Outfall 001 Remedial Investigation dated August 7, 2015.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Navy RPM and/or myself.

Sincerely,

Resolution Consultants
Robert Shoemaker

NCBC Davisville Task Order Manager

250 Apollo Drive

Chelmsford, MA 01824

robert.shoemaker@aecom.com

978.905.2393



## **Document Distribution:**

Richard Gottlieb, RIDEM (letter, hard copy, CD)
Christine Williams, USEPA (letter, hard copy, CD)
Jeffrey Dale, Navy (letter, hard copy, CD)
David Barney, Navy (letter, hard copy, CD)
Andrew Glucksman, Mabbett & Associates, Inc. (letter, hard copy, CD)
Steven King, QDC (letter, hard copy, CD)
Susan Licardi, Town of North Kingstown (letter, CD)
Lee Ann Sinagoga, Tetra Tech (letter, hard copy, CD)
Robert Shoemaker, Resolution (letter, hard copy)
Mark Kauffman, Resolution (letter)

## Navy Responses To Rhode Island Department Of Environmental Management Comment Dated August 7, 2015

On QDC Outfall 001 Draft Remedial Investigation, Former Naval Construction Battallion Center (NCBC) Davisville, North Kingstown, Rhode Island

March 3, 2016

The RIDEM comment on the Navy's QDC Outfall 001 Draft Remedial Investigation (December 2014), is presented below. The RIDEM comment is presented first, followed by the Navy response (*italics*). The RIDEM response follows in <u>underline</u>, and the Navy's second response is presented last in bold.

## **RIDEM Specific Comment:**

RIDEM Specific Comment 14. Figure 4-12, TPH Concentrations in Sediment – The following locations have exceedances of RIDEM GB Leachability Criteria of 2500 mg/kg: QF-SD06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20 and 24 ranging from 2,730 to 15,000 mg/kg. The following locations have exceedances of RIDEM upper concentration limits of 30,000 mg/kg: QF-SD14, 19 and 20 ranging from 38,100 to 118,000 mg/kg. Irrespective of the results of the risk assessments, TPH contamination must be addressed.

Navy Response – The RIDEM GB Leachability Criteria are not applicable to sediment. TPH contamination will be addressed in the FS.

RIDEM Response – Navy is correct that RIDEM does not have leachability criteria specific to sediment. Some form of remedial action, however, must be performed for the high levels of THP in the sediment. Navy would have the option of developing leachability standards for sediment at this specific location, simply using the existing RIDEM leachability criteria, or removing the TPH. Based on previous discussions between Navy, USEPA and RIDEM it appears the Navy is electing to remove the TPH from the sediment which RIDEM finds to be acceptable.

Navy Response – As noted in the comment, the Navy has elected to perform a removal based on the RIDEM Method 1 Direct Exposure Criteria for TPH (i.e., 500 mg/Kg). This decision was agreed to by the BCT on October 28, 2015 as documented in the Draft Final Remedial Investigation Report.